

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,209	02/06/2004	Danny R. Hessert	14395 (6365/90775)	6910
44986	7590 10/26/2006		EXAM	INER
Levenfeld Pearlstein, LLC (ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS)			HINZE, LEO T	
2 North LaSalle Street Suite 1300 CHICAGO, IL 60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2854	
cincado,	Chierdo, ib 00002		DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/774,209	HESSERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leo T. Hinze	2854	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_ \_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🖾 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🗌 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-13 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) Ju dy Nauge 13. Other: \_\_\_\_ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner acknowledges that the proper information is contained in the Application Data Sheet and withdraws the objection to the Oath or Declaration. With regard the the amendments, the addition of "less than atmospheric pressure" does not change the examiner's interpretation of the claim language, and therefore, does not raise any new issues that would require further search or consideration.

In reponse to applicant's arguments that Bachmann teaches that the ink cup is open to the atmosphere, the opening 9 (Fig. 1) is part of a different embodiment of the Bachmann reference that the examiner is not relying on. Figs. 3a and 3b of Bachmann teach a separate embodiment, and no atmospheric vent is present in that embodiment. In response to applicant's argument that there is no motivation for placing the ink reservoir lower than the ink cup, the motivation is found in the nature of the problem to be solved and the knowledge of the person having ordinary skill in the art. A person having ordinary skill in the art, relying on the teaching in Bachmann that it is desirable to prevent an overpressure condition in the ink cup (col. 2, II. 56-58), would be motivated to place the ink reservoir lower than the ink cup, to preclude an overpressure condition from static head pressure of the ink that would occur if the reservoir was placed above the ink cup. A person having ordinary skill in the art would realize that it is desirable to have the pressure inside the ink cup at or lower than, but never above, atmosphere, because this would help prevent ink from being forced around the seals and out of the ink cup. The after-final amendments to the claims will be entered, but because the applicant's arguments are unpersuasive, the claims will stand rejected as in the previous Final Rejection mailed 15 August 2006.